

Access celebrates \$1 billion mark

By **TOM LOCKE**
Camera Business Writer

December 21, 1996



A billion bucks. That's enough to make anybody celebrate.

So when Boulder-based computer distributor Access Graphics Inc. passed the \$1 billion mark in 1996 revenues, it tossed a luncheon party at the Hotel Boulderado on Friday.

A dixieland jazz band made the rounds at Access' Boulder offices Friday morning to announce the celebration and later played at the Boulderado.

John Ramsey, president of Access Graphics, thanked about 300 employees at the gathering and told them it couldn't have happened without them. The \$1 billion in sales is about a 25 percent increase over the \$800 million the company posted last year, and Ramsey foresees continued growth.

The next major milestone party, when the company reaches \$2 billion in revenues, will come "before the end of the decade, that's for sure," he predicted.

Reaching the billion-dollar mark has come relatively quickly for Access, which was formed in 1989 from the merger of three companies: CAD Distributors Inc. of Boulder; CAD Sources Inc. of Piscataway, N.J.; and Advanced Products Group of Roswell, Ga.

In 1990, Access posted \$59 million in sales and had 120 employees. While revenues have grown about 1,600 percent, employment has grown about 358 percent, to 550 employees. About 380 employees are in Boulder, 100 in Europe, 20 in Mexico City, 12 in Canada and some at warehouses in California and Pennsylvania.

Access Graphics, a wholly owned subsidiary of Bethesda, Md.-based Lockheed Martin Corp., gets about 60 percent of its revenues from selling hard ware and software from Sun Microsystems Inc. of Mountain View, Calif.

Sun, known for its Unix workstations and Java software, is planning an office campus of more than 1 million square feet with more than 3,500 employees at Interlocken business park in Broomfield.

Access is one of two distributors for Sun in the United States that is authorized to sell to resellers, which get customer support from Access in selling to end-users.

Ramsey said an important factor in Access' success has been the mix of products and companies it represents. Among the most important, besides Sun, are Silicon Graphics Inc. of Mountain View, Calif., and Hewlett-Packard Co. of Palo Alto, Calif.

Lamborghini Dictionary - younger a streetwise on conduct with
a particular purpose -

I found this letter in my home written by Chris Wolf and sent to Carol McKinley of Fox News in Denver. I believe this attitude clearly expresses the motives, John's business and a deviant sexual expression toward children. The political attitudes regarding John Ramsey and his business were expressed before the murder and became incessant manic rants after the murder.

Carol McKinley from FOX TV News called me up the other day. And you don't think being arrested on bogus traffic charges by the Boulder Police, interrogated as a suspect in the Jon Benet Ramsey murder investigation, threatened, thrown up against the wall and told to print "Mr. Ramsey," "foreign faction," "don't grow a brain" and other such absurdities on a prepared sheet of paper like a third-grader was worth it?

I don't know what happened the night the little girl was killed. But I know who does know. And that is John and Patsy Ramsey. I wonder why the cops didn't stake them out and pull them over for going 54 in a 55 and take the opportunity to ask them a few questions about the case. I've been told by people near the inside of the investigation that there is much more evidence against them than even The Morning Show has made public.

A lot of what we've heard throughout the last year has made it seem like Burke Ramsey might be behind the made-for-TV murder of his sister. Child psychology aside, that boy is no normal 11-year-old. In fact, he's a bizarre and alienated version of the same who has seen far too much in the way of video atrocities and real-life opulence at far too young an age to know what respect for human life means -- not that he's the only one.

Given that, one could only feel admiration for the Ramseys for their stoic denials in defense of their own. Surely it was a tragedy for them to lose their daughter, no matter who killed her. And what could be worse than to lose their only other child as a result of the death of Jon Benet? But does all that mean the Ramseys are innocent?

On the contrary. It is ill-gotten gain to take millions from the sale of gadgets that will surely be the death of some other poor people in some other part of the world before long. John Ramsey is one of those who've been called the Merchants of Death, and of whom none other than Dwight Eisenhower warned us, not so much for moral reasons as for fiscal. Every year you paid your taxes you were writing a check to John.

* We've seen the videos of the little girl in the costumes with the hip thrusts. Everyone who thinks a grown man couldn't possibly ever have sex with a six-year-old girl has got their head so far buried in the sand that that's exactly where it should remain so as to spare the rest of us the tyranny of their ignorance. If Burke should ever tell all he knows -- say, on the witness stand -- it might be that John and Patsy would have a lot to lose. Not only because covering up a murder is tantamount to murder under the law.

In any case, the extreme perseverance of the Boulder law enforcement authorities has clearly failed to come up with some other sucker to pin it on. And there were only three people in the house at the time of the little girl's death who are still alive.

* Jon Benet Ramsey was born into a world of exploitation within a culture of death, and I'm not surprised she didn't survive.

ill gotten gains
Benefits obtained in an evil manner or by dishonest
means -

4/14

specifically Lockheed. I don't think I had any particular pet defense contractor that I was, you know, on a bandwagon against. I think that, you know, Lockheed is one of a well-known handful of big defense contractors that make us the number one arms exporter the country by far. And I was aware of that fact, and I have expressed that view.

Q. In the world.

A. In the world.

Q. And had you expressed the view before the murder of JonBenet Ramsey that Lockheed Martin was responsible for killing children all over the world?

A. I think my previous answer is sufficient. I think that the weapons that this country exports all over the world have killed many children, and I think that that's, you know, somewhat outrageous. I think that, obviously, we -- there are political struggles and disputes, and, you know, that thing does and will continue to happen. But I just hate to see people so willing to fan the flames of those problems.

Q. You had that view before the

1 murder of JonBenet Ramsey; did you not, sir?

2 A. Yes, I certainly did.

Wolfs Politics

1 absolutely irrelevant to the investigation of
2 that kind of a crime. I told them that I've
3 never been -- I'm not a violent person, that
4 I've never harmed any child, that I've never
5 had sex with any child, that I've never
-6 broken into anyone's house, that I've never
7 -- I'm not -- I've never done anything
✓8 resembling sadomasochism or bondage and
9 discipline. I've never harmed -- I told them
10 all those things, on all those things being
11 true.

12 I told them that I've never heard
13 of the Ramseys. I told them that I've never
14 heard of JonBenet Ramsey. I told them I've
15 never seen a child beauty pageant. I told
16 them I've never wanted to see a child beauty
17 pageant. I told them at that time I had
18 never been on the internet.

19 Q. Are you finished?

20 A. Yep, sure.

21 Q. How had you learned, as you had
22 told Carol McKinley, according to Defendant
23 Exhibit 2. that it was very possible for a

To whom it may concern:

I, Charles Sangston, was present with Christopher Wolf in a car when he stated the following:

"Wouldn't it be cool to grab some little girl, tie her up, and do whatever you wanted?"

The statement was made in the predawn hours of Greek Easter in 1981 or 1982.

At the time of the Jon Benet Ramsey murder investigation I became aware of Mr. Wolf's possible involvement. Reluctantly I contacted the Boulder Police Department in 1997 or 1998 and stated that I had known Chris Wolf for some time. I further stated he had made a deeply disturbing comment in my presence. I left contact information with the Boulder Police and was told they would contact me at a future date.

Subsequent to the phone call I have never been contacted by the Boulder Police Department.


Mr. Wolf made this statement in a car in which he was in the front passenger seat. I was in the back seat of the same car. Prior to his comment we had been drinking beer at various parties earlier in the evening. We had left the parties and proceeded to cruise a local reservoir and eventually stopped at a shoreline. There was one other passenger in the car- the driver- who was dozing slightly when he too heard the comment.

The driver and I both reacted with a shocked disbelief and I asked Mr. Wolf what he meant. At that point Mr. Wolf added "I was only kidding". The second comment made by Mr. Wolf was unconvincing and insincere.

I will never forget that moment as long as I live.

I was dumbfounded by his lack of emotion and facial expression. I distinctly remember having moved forward in the car to confront him, out of shock. I am unable to fully describe the look on Mr. Wolf's face prior to his discounting the original comment.



If it would further the cause of justice I will swear to this testimony in a court of law.



Charles L. Sangston

Lutherville MD 21093

410-241-1943



3/5/11

* We've seen the videos of the little girl in the costumes with the hip thrusts. Everyone who thinks a grown man couldn't possibly ever have sex with a six-year-old girl has got their head so far buried in the sand that that's exactly where it should remain so as to spare the rest of us the tyranny of their ignorance.

MIC. is an informal alliance between a nation's military and the American makers of industry,

This conjunction of an immense military establishment and a large arms industry is new in the American experience. The total influence – economic, political, even spiritual – is felt in every city, every Statehouse, every office of the Federal government. We recognize the imperative need for this development. Yet we must not fail to comprehend its grave implications. Our toil, resources and livelihood are all involved; so is the very structure of our society.

American makers of plowshares could, with time and as required, make swords as well. But now we can no longer risk emergency improvisation of national defense; we have been compelled to create a permanent armaments industry of vast proportions.

In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist.

We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together.

Akin to, and largely responsible for the sweeping changes in our industrial-military posture, has been the technological revolution during recent decades.

In this revolution, research has become central, it also becomes more formalized, complex, and costly. A steadily increasing share is conducted for, by, or at the direction of, the Federal government.

Today, the solitary inventor, tinkering in his shop, has been overshadowed by task forces of scientists in laboratories and testing fields. In the same fashion, the free university, historically the fountainhead of free ideas and scientific discovery, has experienced a revolution in the conduct of research. Partly because of the huge costs involved, a government contract becomes virtually a substitute for intellectual curiosity. For every old blackboard there are now hundreds of new electronic computers.

The prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment, project allocations, and the power of money is ever present – and is gravely to be regarded.

Yet, in holding scientific research and discovery in respect, as we should, we must also be alert to the equal and opposite danger that public policy could itself become the captive of a scientific-technological elite.

The prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment, project allocations, and the power of money is ever present – and is gravely to be regarded.

It is the task of statesmanship to mold, to balance, and to integrate these and other forces, new and old, within the principles of our democratic system – ever aiming toward the supreme goals of our free society.

Another factor in maintaining balance involves the element of time. As we peer into society's future, we – you and I, and our government – must avoid the impulse to live only for today, plundering for, for our own ease and convenience, the precious

resources of tomorrow. We cannot mortgage the material assets of our grandchildren without asking the loss also of their political and spiritual heritage. We want democracy to survive for all generations to come, not to become the insolvent phantom of tomorrow.

ZELLER & KEIHNER, L.L.P.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
411 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, SUITE 200
FIRST UNION BANK BUILDING
PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33480

Bruce W. Keihner, P.A.
Admitted in Florida and New York
Ronald J. Zeller, P.A.
Admitted in Florida and Michigan

Telephone: (561) 832-7720
Telefax: (561) 832-1492

Exhibit VII

February 27, 1998

Thomas Colby, Chief of Police
Boulder Police Department
1805 33rd Street
Boulder, CO 80301

CONFIDENTIAL

RE: Jon Bennett Ramsey Case

Dear Sirs:

We are writing this letter on behalf of our client, Jacqueline Dilson, who has been in contact with you with respect to the above matter. We understand that over the last year our client has had numerous contacts with the Boulder Police Department, as well as the Boulder District Attorney's Office. During these contacts she indicated that she suspected a friend of hers, of being the perpetrator of the Ramsey crime.

During these meetings she outlined to you various details that made her suspicious and concerned, as follows:

1. Her friend took a 5:30 am shower on the day of the murder which was very unusual, then he put clothing back on that had dirt on it. It was his navy blue cotton sweater and black jeans.
2. At 10:00 am the morning of December 26th he asked her son Mason to show him how to delete incriminating material on his IBM lap top computer.
3. By 11:00 am the morning of the 26th he went into a rage saying that Mason was trying to take over the world with his computer knowledge.
4. During the 5:00 pm news on the 26th, he was screaming how Jon Bennett had been sexually abused and how Mr. Ramsey had a contract with Lockheed, and how Mr. Ramsey was producing on his computer a design for parts to guns. He complained that Mr. Ramsey then sold this design to Lockheed. He was quite upset that Lockheed was able to build guns from this design. He felt the guns were being sold to militia to kill third world people. He was also screaming at her that he knew Jon Bennett was a sexually abused child and then repeating insistently, "You think I did this don't you". It had never crossed her mind that he did this until he continued this

behavior for several days. By the first of January she suspected he could have killed Jon Bennett. His craziness about the media coverage continued until he was literally thrown off her property on April 8. He talked about strangling a lot, such as "If I were going to strangle someone, I would never use my hand, I would use a rope" (Dec 28).

5. He tried to strangle her on or about January 5th. she was sleeping and he came into her room and jumped on her bed and tried to strangle her. She hit him in the eye with her right elbow, he fell off the bed and ran out of the house. He had threatened her life thru strangulation for the 4th time by April 8.

6. The ransom note appeared to be in his hand writing. She had seen his writing many times during his stay at her house. There may be an attempt by him to disguise letters but the general content appears to be his.

7. She also believes that the flashlight found at the crime scene was hers. Her black flashlight is missing 3/4 to 1' of long black steel.

8. She found Mr. Ramsey's Access Tech number in his 1995 datebook, along with references to Mr. Ramsey's ex secretary's name. Yet he told her that he never heard of the Ramseys. She thinks that he got the \$118,000 ransom figure from this same woman.

Further she provided the Boulder Police Department in early 1997 the following evidence:

1. His black leather jacket- the only thing he wore over the navy blue cotton sweater. There were reports that navy blue cotton fibers were found on Jon Bennett's body.
2. His bed clothes containing his body hair to match against evidence from the crime scene.
3. His 1995 datebook with the Ramsey number in it.
4. His journal containing other clues.

We understand that the suspect, was questioned by the Boulder Police Department at one particular point in time, and that he suspects that our client had reported him to the police. Subsequently, he began to stalk our client, which I believe is fully documented in police records. I believe that your records will indicate that our client has called the police on numerous occasions and the police came out to investigate these stalkings, break-ins to her home and other complaints. Because these stalkings continued, she was in fear of her safety and moved away for the last few months, had to abandon her business at her home, and has only just now returned to Boulder.


Further, these stalkings have commenced again following her return. Since she is not receiving the protection she has requested, she has even had to appear friendly to this man to protect herself.

We certainly would appreciate the Boulder Police keeping the file open on these stalkings, and to take measures to protect our client from this person.

With respect to the Jon-Bennett Ramsey case, our client obviously attempted to cooperate fully with the police investigation. Have the items that she submitted been tested, and if so, what are the results of the tests? Is the person identified by our client a suspect in this investigation?

We would appreciate an immediate response on this matter.

Very truly yours,


Bruce W. Keihner, Esq.

BWK/stz

cc: Alexander Hunter, Esq.
Justice Center
1777 6th Street
Boulder, CO 80302

Mr. Lou Schmit
Justice Center
1777 6th Street
Boulder, CO 80302

John Daley
Attorney General
1525 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203



CITY
OF
BOULDER

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE

Jackie
FAX
303-
823-6045

March 19, 1998

Bruce W. Keihner, P.A.
411 South County Road, Suite 200
First Union Bank Building
Palm Beach, FL 33480

RE: Jackie Dilson

Dear Mr. Keihner:

First, in reference to your questions about details of our investigation, I can not provide this information. We have taken the position of not addressing specifics on evidence or on individual people who have or have not been investigated.

I have had conversations with Jackie Dilson and have provided her with advice on some steps that could be taken in her ongoing interactions with Mr. Wolfe. It is important for you to know that Ms. Dilson does not live in our jurisdiction. She lives in Boulder County, not the city of Boulder. The interactions related to me occurred at her residence in the county. I have advised her that if she believes she has a criminal complaint against Mr. Wolfe, she needs to contact the Boulder County Sheriff's Department to file the complaint.

I hope this helps answer your questions.

Sincerely,

Mark R. Beckner
Commander, Detective Division

make copy put
in my connections

July Cheryl McGrew - Access
How much more pay per ticket/per United

How many flights use per year?
938 9333

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

1995

John
Ramsey
phone
No.

Monday 10 ASL 9-12:45 & call Tina for appt.

Tuesday 11 Call Sender 1. PM
Christine @ Career Coach 447-2323

Wednesday 12 ASL 8:30-12:15
Full Moon

Thursday 13 Call J. re: copper smoke
Sender Winter Program
Summer Winter special stories

10 1745 1545 Don 9 AM Del's Bartlett.

Friday 14
P.O. THE COUNTRY

Saturday 15
Sunday 16

56

Barb Harvey

Page 264

1 again that you never heard of the Ramseys and
2 you never heard of their company Access
3 Graphics before the murder of JonBenet Ramsey;
4 haven't you, sir?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. You even testified to that today;
7 didn't you?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. But the fact is that, in March of
10 1996, Barbara Harvey was reading an article
11 that was in the March 3, 1996, Boulder Daily
12 Camera about John Ramsey and Access Graphics
13 in your presence, and you went ballistic when
14 she talked about it. Isn't that true, sir?

15 A. I don't recall that, and I don't
16 know what "went ballistic" means. What was
17 the date?

18 Q. March 1996.

19 A. I don't recall that. I don't
20 know -- I can't imagine Barbara Harvey
21 reading a story about Access Graphics from
22 the Daily Camera. And, I mean, what, aloud?

23 Q. Mentioning it to you.

24 A. It sounds ridiculous.

25 Q. And, in fact, were you from time

WHO WE ARE

Leadership

In the Community

Corporate Governance

Sustainability

Diversity and Equal Opportunity

Energy, Environment, Safety and

Health

Ethics

Lockheed Martin International

Our History

Social Media

Our Businesses



About Lockheed Martin

Headquartered in Bethesda, Maryland, Lockheed Martin is a global security and aerospace company that employs approximately 100,000 people worldwide and is principally engaged in the research, design, development, manufacture, integration and sustainment of advanced technology systems, products and services.

Lockheed Martin is led by Marilyn A. Hewson, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer.

Our Mission: We solve complex challenges, advance scientific discovery and deliver innovative solutions to help our customers keep people safe.

Our Vision: Be the global leader in supporting our customers' missions, strengthening security and advancing scientific discovery.

Our Values:

- Do What's Right
- Respect Others
- Perform With Excellence

CUSTOMER BASE: As a global security, innovation, and aerospace company, the majority of Lockheed Martin's business is with the U.S. Department of Defense and U.S. federal government agencies. In addition, Sikorsky (a Lockheed Martin Company) provides military and rotary-wing aircraft to all five branches of the U.S. armed forces along with military services and commercial operators in 40 nations. The remaining portion of Lockheed Martin's business is comprised of international government and commercial sales of products, services and platforms.

1 involved in; is that right?

2 A. As a detective, yes.

3 Q. You were involved in a murder
4 investigation in some other capacity?

5 A. I had been on homicide scenes as
6 a uniformed officer.

7 Q. But as a detective actively
8 investigating the murder, was JonBenet Ramsey
9 the first murder investigation in that
10 capacity for you?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Can we also say that it was the
13 only one?

14 A. No.

15 Q. So you were involved as a
16 detective in other homicide investigations?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Tell me about those. How many?

19 A. One other.

20 Q. When was that?

21 A. In 1997, I believe.

22 Q. Is that the one where the police
23 officer was present when someone shot someone
24 else in a domestic dispute?

25 A. Yes.

EX

1 in an arrest of someone.

2 Q. You became a detective in 1972?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. Prior to being assigned to the
5 detective bureau, from 1966 to the 1972
6 assignment, had you had the opportunity to work
7 any homicide cases --

8 A. No.

9 Q. -- for the police department?

10 A. No, sir. I didn't work homicide
11 cases, but I was involved in a lot of very
12 serious and complicated injury accident-type
13 investigations.

14 Q. And then starting with your
15 assignment in 1972 as a detective, were you then
16 and thereafter involved in homicide
17 investigations?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Would you give us the benefit,
20 Detective Smit, of your best approximation of how
21 many homicide investigations you have been
22 involved in over the course of your law
23 enforcement career?

24 A. I would say very close to 200.

25 Q. Would you also give us the benefit,

1 please, of your best estimate as to the
2 percentage of those homicide cases which were
3 successfully resolved, that is to say, where the
4 perpetrator was identified and brought to
5 justice?

6 A. The solve rate for our homicides is
7 90 percent plus.

8 Q. Let me hand you what has been marked
9 for purposes of identification to your
10 deposition, Detective Smit, as Defendant's Exhibit
11 1 and ask you if you would tell us what that
12 document is.

13 A. This is a document that I prepared
14 of just some of the high-profile cases that I
15 have worked. There are many, many more, but
16 these were the ones that had somewhat of an
17 impact on our community.

18 It does list the date of the
19 offense, the victim, the suspects, and some
20 comments, personal comments.

21 I must add that I didn't spend a lot
22 of time preparing this, but I did prepare it
23 for this deposition.

24 Q. And the information that you provided
25 in that document with respect to some of the,

... degree in journalism, one should also note that plaintiff, himself, has a Masters' degree in journalism.

Id. ¶ 13.)

III. The Investigation of the Murder

At the time of JonBenét's murder, the Boulder Police Department had limited experience in conducting a murder investigation. (SMF ¶ 70; PSMF ¶ 70.) Commander Jon Eller was primarily responsible for the investigation, which was his first murder investigation. (SMF ¶ 67; PSMF ¶ 67.) One lead detective assigned to the case, Steven Thomas, had no prior experience with a murder investigation and had previously served as an undercover narcotics officer. (SMF ¶ 68; PSMF ¶ 68.) Finally, the officer who took charge of the investigation in October 1997, Mark Beckner, also had limited homicide experience. (SMF ¶ 69; PSMF ¶ 69.)

Many mistakes were made during the course of the investigation. For example, a series of events compromised the crime scene, as discussed *supra*. Moreover, the police did not request to interview defendants separately on the day that JonBenét's body was found. (SMF ¶ 57; PSMF ¶ 57.) They did, however, question defendants jointly at various times on December 26, 27 and 28, and, soon thereafter, began to focus the investigation on defendants as the main subjects. (SMF ¶¶ 54, 71-72; PSMF ¶¶ 54, 71-72.) Pursuant to the FBI's suggestion that the

intense media pressure to them so that they would confess to the crime, the police released many statements that implied defendants were guilty and were not cooperating with police. (SMF ¶¶ 74-75; PSMF ¶¶ 74-75.) In addition to official police releases, many individual officers also released information about the investigation without official authorization, some of which disclosures were highly confidential and potentially undermined the investigation.

During the course of the investigation, defendants signed over one hundred releases for information requested by the police, and provided all evidence and information requested by the police. (SMF ¶ 61; PSMF 61.) Upon request, within days after the murder and in the months that followed, defendants provided the police with historical handwriting samples and supervised written exemplars. (SMF ¶ 55; PSMF ¶ 55.) Defendants also gave hair, including pubic hair, and DNA samples to the police. (SMF ¶ 56, 60; PSMF ¶ 56, 60.) Despite widespread criticism that defendants failed to cooperate in the murder investigation, defendants note that they agreed, on at least three occasions, to be interviewed separately by representatives of the police or the Boulder County District Attorney's Office. (SMF ¶ 62; PSMF ¶ 62.)

In March 1997, Andrew Louis Smit was hired by the Boulder District Attorney's Office due to his extensive experience as a

Steve Thomas call Lou
~~Lou~~ Smut a delusional old
man

1 A. I'm sorry, Ms. Dilson's accounts
2 grew increasingly suspicious by way of making
3 admissions and information known to us in a
4 less than timely fashion.

5 And then continuing to supply
6 information that became increasingly void of
7 credibility, including linking Access Graphics
8 and Lockheed Martin in some conspiracy
9 involving arms sales to "Third World countries
10 and Chris Wolf planting by way of this
11 conspiracy somehow a stun gun video inside
12 the Ramsey home.

13 Additionally, she tried to
14 implicate Mr. Wolf in other crimes, including
15 another homicide, and another individual or
16 team of detectives were assigned to attempt a
17 different tact with Mr. Wolf and were
18 successful in gaining his compliance and
19 cooperation, and I was made aware that they
20 subsequently internally cleared him from
21 involvement in the Ramsey matter.

22 MR. DIAMOND: Before you ask him
23 the next question, may I have a minute with
24 the witness?

25 MR. WOOD: If we note on the

MOTIVE
The letter sent
to Carol McKinley
that is @ the Grand
July information
states his feelings
about Lockheed
which would
counters.

1 and neither am I.

2 Q. Mr. Wolf, if it turns out that
3 you're mistaken in your accusation that Patsy
4 Ramsey or Burke Ramsey or John Ramsey killed
5 JonBenet Ramsey, then they're simply in the
6 same boat you find yourself in; aren't they?

7 They have had some even more
8 stressful years, have they not, sir, since
9 the death of their daughter, even more
10 stressful than poor Chris Wolf; have they
11 not, sir?

12 A. Well, if that scenario that you
13 described were true, yes, the answer would be
14 yes, but, sir, I'm --

15 Q. Thank you.

16 A. I'm a --

17 Q. Thank you.

18 A. I'm an educated person, and I
19 understand about law and politics and crime,
20 and I have -- I have entertained that --
21 that idea that you're describing. And I have
22 entertained it numerous times. And there is
23 just too much evidence that cannot be
24 ignored, and there's too much of a lack of
25 contradictory evidence, a giant vacuum of