

2000
Orig writing
by Jacques
Bill O'Reilly
show
Finding
Patsy
Dops

You might deny that you could do such a thing, as you did on the Bill O'Reilly show in 2000

"I'm not sure exactly what she [Jacqueline Dilson] said, but it must have been something that . . . about me . . . regarding the murder of the little girl, which of course I had nothing to do with. I could never and would never do anything like that. But I think that she was angry with me and for personal reasons, I guess, as far as regarding our relationship, and I think that she wanted to make my life miserable, and I think that she succeeded.

But I know better than that. I know what a good liar you are

Another thing you don't know Chris, and it seems that you got very careless here, but my daughter and her partner found some suspicious items at Dakota one day that we think might be connected to you

Page 279

Q. In your bathroom at Jackie Dilson's home, could Ricky Elsey have found five pairs of little girls underwear?

A. I didn't have a bathroom that was my bathroom. Jackie and I shared the bathrooms. And I guess he could have found -- I mean, Jackie had lots of people over, and, you know, the place was a bed and breakfast, and a conference center, and there were children there. And for all I know -- I mean, everything else that he has apparently said to you has been a lie, so I assume that that may be, too, although he may have found five pairs of children's underwear in the bathroom.

Q. Let me put the question to you this way. Did you bring little girls' underwear into the Dilson home, sir?

A. No, sir.

Q. Have you ever --

A. No, sir.

①

Q. -- collected little girl's underwear --

A. No, sir.

Q. -- of the size that might be worn by a four, five, or six-year-old girl?

A. No, sir.

Q. And if, after your truck stopped to open a gate, in the plain view of Ricky Elsey and Jackie Dilson, and very soon thereafter, a little pair of little girl's underwear was found right where it might have fallen out of your automobile or truck, it didn't get there from your vehicle?

A. Well, I didn't own a truck. Jackie owned a truck. And if Jackie had little girls' underwear in the car and it fell out when I opened the door, that may have happened, but I don't have anything to do with any little girl's underwear.

Were you also fantasizing about little girls by getting hold of panties in their size? What store did you go to to get hold of such items? Or did you steal them from somewhere?

Charles Sangston's statement about what you said you wanted to do with a little girl as well as what you wrote in the letter you sent to Carol McKinley "Everyone who thinks a grown man couldn't possibly ever have sex with a six-year-old girl has got their head so far buried in the sand that that's exactly where it should remain so as to spare the rest of us the tyranny of their ignorance" give a very clear indication that you could very well have been involved in the torture and murder of JonBenet.

Unbelievably you also seemed to think it was the norm and that not just you but all men felt like that, judging by your comment "Everyone who thinks a grown man couldn't . . ."

I in no way wanted to marry you, and I'm not turning you in for the murder of a child because you wouldn't marry me as you said to that Globe reporter I was -- "*She was upset because we were close to breaking up, Jacqui was 10 years older than me and wanted to head towards marriage while I was ready to move on.*" -- You know that was a lie"

No Chris, I turned you in for murder because I believe it was you. From the time in the Jasper House when I first saw that killer look in your eyes, until years after, things just fell into place that you were the one who did this



2000 copy
Orig. writing
abt Jacques
in book
Death of
Innocence
© Disney

The year 2000 publication of the Ramseys' book and Wolf's suing them over it

The year began for me with me replying to a card Chris had sent to me. On February 20 I wrote him a very loving letter. It is true that included in the letter was a request for money. I was destitute. I had been forced to live on food stamps and was living a sort of a life in my trailer in Saratoga. Chris at the time owed me between \$2,500 and \$5,000 from loans I had made to him over the years and he had never paid me back.

Chapter 14

The year 2000 publication of the Ramseys' book and Wolf's suing them over it

The year began for me with me replying to a card Chris had sent to me. On February 20 I wrote him a very loving letter. It is true that included in the letter was a request for money. I was destitute. I had been forced to live on food stamps and was living a sort of a life in my trailer in Saratoga. Chris at the time owed me between \$2,500 and \$5,000 from loans I had made to him over the years and he had never paid me back.

See EPILOGUE "1. My letter to Chris"

In March 2000 John and Patsy Ramsey published their book "Death of Innocence". In it, John wrote about Chris:

"Whatever the police's intentions, Wolf went on our suspect list. He represented too many unanswered questions." And during a Today Show interview, Katie Couric asked the Ramseys about Mr. Wolfe. Here's what John Ramsey said. "... he'd been widely mentioned in the news, and we wanted to clarify the facts that we knew. I can tell you - when we first started looking at - at one particular lead early on, my reaction was . . . this is it. This is the killer. And our investigator said, 'whoa, whoa, whoa,' he'd say 'don't do a Boulder police on me. Don't rush to conclusions.'"

John wrote about me:

"Later that evening, as we were trying to relax, we received a call from Pam, who said that she had just gotten off the phone with a lady in Boulder. Jacqueline Dilson had reported to the Boulder police that she believed her live-in lover, Chris Wolf, might be the person they were seeking. When she couldn't get them to respond, she finally called Patsy's mother, Nedra, and she in turn called Pam, who immediately called Jackie back.

Jacqueline Dilson owned the Dakota Ranch, a small retreat and conference center near Lyons, Colorado, which pushed New Age experiences. She had allowed Wolf to move into her trailer in 1995. Chris Wolf turned out to be a reporter for the Colorado

Appendix

3

Doors: All three doors

Windows:

Daily and the Boulder county Business Report with a master's degree in journalism. His strange behavior before Christmas and early on the morning after Christmas raised Dilson's concerns about what Wolf had done all night.

Apparently, Dilson had spent Christmas Day with Wolf, but he would not stay to have supper with her and her family. He told Jackie that he might go out that night. If you wake up and I'm not here, he said, I'm just driving around. Somewhere around 10:00 P.M. Jacqueline went to bed, thinking Wolf had gone off on a spree of some kind or another. At around 5:30 A.M., sounds from the bathroom woke Jackie up, and she realized that Wolf was getting out of the shower. He had left dirty clothes all over the floor. Without explanation of where he'd been, Wolf crawled into bed and went to sleep.

Later the next day, Dilson and Wolf watched the television news reports of JonBenet's death. To her surprise, she observed him becoming quite agitated. Wolf cursed and said that he believed JonBenet had been sexually abused by her father. For the rest of the evening, Wolf brooded over the case.

According to Dilson, Wolf hated big business and had a fascination with world political disputes and political violence. He told her that John Ramsey's company designed parts for guns, which were then sold to third world countries to kill people. Wolf was extremely upset every time the Ramsey case was discussed on television, Dilson said. Most importantly, she said that at one time Chris Wolf had been given a sweatshirt with the initials SBTC (the signature on the ransom note), which stood for Santa Barbara Tennis Club. We considered this a very significant lead and gave all the information we had to the police.

I wasn't at all happy about being mentioned in the book, just as I had not been at all happy that Lawrence Schiller had mentioned me in his book a year earlier. But I did not have the power to stop either of these things happening. I remember I once asked Michael Bynum if my name was going to be mentioned. He rudely replied "No, why would it be?" But he was wrong. My name was mentioned.

In May 2000 Chris filed a lawsuit against the Ramseys for fifty million dollars

Ever since the end of 1998 Chris' adoptive father had been asking him repeatedly about the murder and whether he had been involved. I believe this might have been Chris's motivation to sue. He didn't need to sue. It wasn't in his best interests. He was drawing attention to himself in doing so. Who really knows why he did it? But my feeling is that in his mind he might still be wanting to convince his father that he was innocent. He did need his adoptive parents' support after all in the situation he was now in - no job (as far as I know), no real friends and nowhere to live. He was pretty alone at that point. His parents were his only lifeline. The Grand Jury had failed to indict the Ramseys for the murder and the Ramseys had now pointed to him as a suspect in their book. His image wasn't good even if he knew Boulder Police were never going to charge him with the murder. If he could win a lawsuit against the Ramseys it might convince his parents that there was no substance to the story that he had anything to do with the murder.

If Chris faced the Ramseys, the worst thing that could happen to him is that he would not win \$80 million; the best thing that could happen to him is that the majority of the population would believe that anyone who had the guts to stand up to the Ramseys ???with all of the discovery and depositions that would be entailed??? had to be innocent

4

If Chris faced the Ramseys, the worst thing that could happen to him is that he would not win \$80 million; the best thing that could happen to him is that most of the population would believe that anyone who had the guts to stand up to the Ramseys with all the discovery and depositions that would be entailed had to be innocent.

2001 Chris v. Wolf